

# Prospect Dynamics and Loss Dominance

Ryoji Sawa and Jiabin Wu\*

April 18, 2016

## Abstract

This paper investigates the role of loss-aversion in affecting the long run equilibria of stochastic evolutionary dynamics. We consider a finite population of loss-averse agents who are repeatedly and randomly matched to play a  $2 \times 2$  coordination game. When an agent revises his strategy, he compares the payoff from each strategy to his reference point which is endogenously formed. Based on the comparison, he makes a (possibly stochastic) choice. We call the resulting evolutionary dynamics *prospect dynamics*. Three types of endogenous reference points are examined: the social average, status-quos and expectations. We find that risk-dominance is no longer sufficient to guarantee stochastic stability under prospect dynamics with any type of reference points. Therefore, we propose a stronger concept, *loss-dominance*: a strategy is loss-dominant if it is both risk-dominant strategy and the maximin strategy. This concept captures people's psychological needs to avoid not only risks but losses. We show that it serves as a natural selection refinement for games with loss-averse agents. The state in which all agents play the loss-dominant strategy (if exists) is uniquely stochastically stable under prospect dynamics for any degree of loss-aversion and all types of reference points. We also characterize the precise conditions for stochastic stability in games with no loss-dominant strategy.

**Keywords:** Evolutionary game theory, Behavioral game theory, Equilibrium selection, Loss-aversion, Prospect dynamic, Loss-dominance, Risk-dominance, Maximin.

**JEL Code:** C72, C73.

---

\*Sawa: Department of Policy and Planning Sciences, University of Tsukuba, email: rsawa@sk.tsukuba.ac.jp. Wu: Department of Economics, University of Oregon, email: jwu5@uoregon.edu. Please direct correspondence to either author. We thank Ned Augenblick, participants of Central European Program for Economic Theory 2015 Meeting, 2015 East Asian Game Theory Conference and 2015 Economic Science Association North America Meeting for their comments and suggestions.