Collective mistake in a tricky question under strategic voting*

Tomoya Tajika[†]

January 21, 2019

We consider a situation in which voters collectively answer a binary question. Each voter obtains a signal about the answer to the question, but the precision of the signal depends on the question type that is unknown to any voter. If each voter receives an informative signal on the question type, the majority rule under sincere voting correctly aggregates the information with a large electorate; however, it is not an equilibrium. We show that in a unique pure-strategy equilibrium with a large electorate, majority voting makes an *incorrect* decision with a probability that can be sufficiently close to 1.

Keyword: Information aggregation; inefficiency; precision uncertainty; strategic voting *JEL Classification*: C72; D72.

^{*}This paper is previously circulated as "Collective mistakes: Intuition aggregation for a trick question under strategic voting." The author is deeply grateful to Naruto Nagaoka for his valuable comments. The author also thanks Takeshi Murooka, Eiichi Miyagawa, Norihito Sakamoto, Reiko Gotoh, Hongyi Li, Shmuel Nitzan and participants of the 24th decentralization conference in Japan. The usual disclaimer applies.

[†]Institute of Economic Research, Hitotsubashi University, Naka 2-1, Kunitachi, Tokyo, 186-8603. Email: tajika@ier.hit-u.ac.jp